

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/625,889	07/26/2000	Ted Chongpi Lee	LEE 4	8036
46363	7590 03/11/2005		EXAM	INER
•	ATTERSON & SHERI	SOBUTKA	SOBUTKA, PHILIP	
LUCENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC 595 SHREWSBURY AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SHREWSBU	SHREWSBURY, NJ 07702		2684	· -

DATE MAILED: 03/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/625,889	LEE, TED CHONGPI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Philip J. Sobutka	2684			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 De	ecember 2004.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	<u> </u>				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmont/c\	•				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO_413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. Claims 1,5,9,11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lauer et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,1 18,936).

Regarding claim 1, Lauer discloses a method for managing adjunct access for a circuit in a network management system. The method comprises providing a manageable link (a linkset) representing each non-managed portion of the circuit (non-IEC nodes), responsive to a determination that a non-managed portion of the circuit exists (See Figures 8b, 8c and 8e; col. 4, lines 33-48; col. 13, line 55 through col. 14, line 9; and col. 14, lines 18-29).

Regarding claim 5, Lauer discloses a method for designing a continuous circuit. The method comprises determining a non-managed portion (non-IEC node) of a circuit exists, and providing a link (linkset) between each non-managed portion of the circuit and a proximate managed portions (IEC nodes) of the circuit. The link may be characterized as a managed carrier link by a network management system (SNMS). See Figures 8b, 8c and 8e; col. 4, lines 3348., col. 13, line 55 through col. 14, line 9., and col. 14, lines 18-29.

Regarding claim 9, Lauer discloses a method comprising assigning links (linksets) bridging non-managed portions (non-IEC nodes) of a circuit path. It is inherent that the circuit path is created after a request to provision a circuit is received, and the circuit path is selected within a network comprising a plurality of network elements (IEC and non-IEC nodes). The assigned links may be characterized as managed carrier links

Art Unit: 2684

(See Figures 8b, 8c and 8e; col. 4, lines 33-48; col. 13, line 55 through col. 14, line 9; and col. 14, lines 18-29).

Regarding claim 11, Lauer discloses all of the limitations of claim 9, and also discloses that the non-managed portions of the network comprise adjunct access facilities (LATA facilities) (See col. 14, lines 18-29).

Regarding claim 12, Lauer discloses an apparatus (SNMS servers 302/304/306/308) for designing a continuous circuit inherently comprising a processor and an associated storage device including instructions for controlling the processor (See col 4, lines 33-48). The instructions cause the processor to determine whether a non-managed portion (non-IEC node) of a circuit exists, and to provide a link (a linkset) between non-managed portions of the circuit and proximate managed portions (IEC nodes) of the circuit. The link may be characterized as a managed carrier link by a network management system (SNMS 300) (See Figures 8b, 8c and 8e; col. 13, line 55 through col. 14, line 9; and col 14, lines 18-29.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claims 2-4, 6-8, 10 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lauer et al. in view of Dodd (Annabel Z. Dodd, 'The Essential Guide to Telecommunications," 1998, pp. 144-145.).

Regarding claims 2, 6, 10 and 13, Lauer discloses all of the limitations of claims 1, 5, 9 and 12, but does not disclose that each manageable link is coupled to at least one of a Digital Cross Connect, a Light Wave Guided Cross Connect, and a Distribution Drop Point. However, Dodd teaches that it is well known in the art to use a Digital Cross

Art Unit: 2684

Connect in a communications network to couple multiple end users to a single T-1 circuit, thereby allowing efficient use of circuit resources (Annabel Z. Dodd, 'The Essential Guide to Telecommunications," 1998, pp. 144-145.). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Lauer as taught by Dodd, such that each manageable link is coupled to a Digital Cross Connect, in order to efficiently use circuit resources.

Regarding claims 3, 7 and 14, Lauer in view of Dodd teaches all of the limitations of claims 2, 6 and 13, and Lauer also discloses that each manageable link comprises a fiber optic cable (See col 2, lines 55-57).

Regarding claims 4, 8 and 15, Lauer in view of Dodd teaches all of the limitations of claims 2, 6 and 13, and Lauer also discloses that the links comprise a digital carrier (See col. 8, lines 45-61).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 26 July 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

It should first be noted that by definition a manageable link, cannot be a non-managed link. Quoting from claim 1, what is being claimed is a manageable link "representing each non-managed portion of the circuit" (emphasis added). To quote from the instant specification on page 7 "The invention operates to characterize the non-managed portion as a manageable network element..." (emphasis added). Therefore the instant invention does not replace the non-managed portions, it merely

Art Unit: 2684

represents them. Thus the instant claims cannot distinguish over Lauer's representation of the non-managed links as described in the previous response to arguments and repeated below.

Regarding claims 1, 5, 9, and 12, Applicant states, "As clearly evident from at least the portions of Lauer cited above, there is absolutely no teaching, suggestion or disclosure in Lauer for 'providing a respective manageable link ...". Note in addition to the cited sections, Lauer's intended invention is to provide the capability to collect network topology, traffic, performance and fault information (column 3, lines 31 -40) and further of receiving data from foreign networks (column 10, lines 15 –35; further note here that such networks can be LEC or international carriers, potentially adjunct or a part of the network not necessarily apart of the originally defined network). Further note that manageable, as defined by Merriam-Webster's 10th Edition, describes something that is capable of being managed (managed defined as to handle or direct with a degree of skill: as to make and keep compliant). Note that Lauer, in the above sections, performs these functions, for example since network topology, traffic, and other network performance and compliance criteria are observed (again for example, column 10, lines 15 –18 where alarm data are monitored). Note further that as traffic is flowing a completed circuit is inherent (column 2, lines 35-56). Hence, Examiner is not persuaded by Applicant's arguments that the reference does not teach or recite the claimed as broadly interpreted.

Art Unit: 2684

Conclusion

Page 6

4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip J. Sobutka whose telephone number is 703-305-4825, after March 2005 the number will change to (571) 272-7887. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nay Maung can be reached on 703-308-7745. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only: For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Philip Sobutka (703) 305-4825

March 4, 2005

NICK CORSARO